Case No. 1:20-cv-00553 Gwin, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

EMMETT T. CLAYTON,

CASE NO. 1:20-cv-00553

Plaintiff,

ORDER

٧.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL

SECURITY,

Defendant.

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On September 20, 2021, the Court remanded this Social Security case for further administrative proceedings under sentence six.1 At the same time, the Court entered iudgment.²

Those further administrative proceedings are now done, and the Commissioner of Social Security has issued a fully favorable decision for Plaintiff Emmett Clayton.³ The Commissioner moves to reopen this case, affirm his decision, and enter judgment.⁴

"[A] district court retains jurisdiction when remanding a social security claimant's case pursuant to sentence six."⁵ In sentence six cases, the Commissioner must "return∏ to court" "after the post[-]remand proceedings are completed" and file any new decision with that court. ⁶ That court then has the power to review the new decision "to the extent provided

¹ Doc. 25.

² Doc. 26.

³ Doc. 30.

⁵ Marshall v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., 444 F.3d 837, 841 (6th Cir. 2006).

⁶ Melkonyan v. Sullivan, 501 U.S. 89, 102 (1991); see also 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) (sentence six) (requiring the Commissioner to "file with the court any such additional and modified findings of fact and decision" following post-remand proceedings).

Case: 1:20-cv-00553-JG Doc #: 31 Filed: 05/20/25 2 of 2. PageID #: 3313

Case No. 1:20-cv-00553

Gwin, J.

for review of the original [decision]."⁷ As such, a sentence six remand does not result in final

judgment.

That being the case, the Court should not have entered judgment when it granted a

sentence six remand. Construing the Commissioner's motion to reopen as a motion to vacate

the judgment under Rule 60(b)(6), the Court GRANTS that motion and VACATES the

September 20, 2021 judgment.

Next, the Court exercises its power to review the Commissioner's new, fully favorable

decision. The Commissioner asks the Court to affirm the new decision. Plaintiff Clayton has

not opposed or otherwise responded. So, the Court AFFIRMS the new decision. The Court

will separately enter a new judgment reflecting this affirmance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 20, 2025

James S. Gwin

James S. Gwin

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

⁷ 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

-2-